

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,876	07/30/2003	Darren Maya	0112300-720	7942
29159 7	7590 04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			NGUYEN, KIM T	
P. O. BOX 113	35			
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	¥

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Application No. 10/630,876 MAYA ET AL.				<u> </u>				
Examiner Kim T. Nguyen 3713			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Kim T. Nguyen 3713	Office Action Summary		10/630,876	MAYA ET AL.				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementor of the map be swidebu under the proxibino of 37 OFA 1.3(a). In a over, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is 100 of 100 or 14 to 20 or 15 or 14 to 20 or 15 or 1			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STAUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In ow event, however, may a reply be timely filed. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In ow event, however, may a reply be timely filed. - If NO parofic or reply is specified above, the maximum stathory period with apply and will expise XIs (M) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. Size 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-25 and 33-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-3 and 26-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 26-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The draving(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C			Kim T. Nguyen	3713				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.336(). In nevert, may reply be finely filed ster SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified above, the mainman statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified above, the mainman statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified above, the mainman statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified above, the mainman statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified above, the mainman statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period will not shall be supported to the communication. If NO period years are supported to the communication. If NO period years are supplied to replace the period of this communication. If NO period years are supplied to replace the period of the communication. If NO period years are supplied to replace the period of the period years. If NO period years are supplied to the period years are period years. If NO period years are supplied to the period years are period years. If Years are years are period years are period years are period years. If Years are years are years are period years are period years. If Years are years are years are years are years are years are years. If Years are years are years are years are years are years are years. If Years are years are years are years are years are years are years. If Years are years are years are years are years are years are years. If Years are years are years are years are years are years are years. If Years are years are years are y	Period		pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2005. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-25 and 33-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are 26-32 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	- E 2 - I - F	HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-25 and 33-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(croit) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status	•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-25 and 33-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 26-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)[\boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 December 2</u>	ecember 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)(
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) to be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(c. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note that attachment(s) 1.3 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3. Cepies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Aktachment(s) 1. Claim(s) 1. Sare pending in the application requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3)[,—						
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-25 and 33-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 26-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-25 and 33-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 and 26-32 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	Dispo	sition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(cmode) See 37 CFR 1.121(cmode) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	5)[6)[7)[4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-25 and 33-42</u> is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 26-32</u> is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	re withdrawn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(cmode) See 37 CFR 1.121(cmode) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Applic	ation Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(cmode) 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			· ·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(color) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•		•	Examiner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(ctriction 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priorit	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	Attachn	nent(s)						
7) Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	2) N 3) Ir	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment on 12/16/05. Currently, claims 14-25 and 33-42 are withdrawn from consideration, claims 1-13 and 26-32 are examined in this office action and claims 1-42 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 and 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al (US 2004/0204229) in view of Schaefer et al (US 2004/0201169).

As per claim 1-2, Walker discloses a gaming device comprising a display device 310 (Fig. 3); a plurality of matingly interconnected puzzle pieces (Fig. 10C); and a plurality of selections, each associated with a puzzle piece (paragraphs 0187-0190, 0221-0222). Walker does not disclose that allowing the player to pick selections in each play of a game until the player obtains a designated combination of the puzzle pieces in the play of the game, and awarding the player based on the designated combination obtained by the player and the selected puzzle pieces matingly connected to a puzzle piece in the designated combination. However, since Schaefer discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/630,876

allowing the player to revealed the puzzle pieces and match the revealed pieces in each play (e.g. puzzle A, B, C or D) of the game (the game includes puzzles A, B, C and D) to the designated combination of the puzzle pieces (puzzle A or B or C or D in Fig. 2), Schaefer obviously disclose allowing the player to select the selections until the player obtains the designated combination (puzzle A or B or C or D in Fig. 2); Further, since Schaefer discloses providing an award based on a criteria for the designated combination (paragraph 0024), and since providing a payout based on the criteria such as the designated combination obtained by the player and the selected puzzle pieces matingly connected to a puzzle piece in the designated combination would have been obvious design choice. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the player to pick the selections until the player obtains a designated combination and awarding the player based on a predetermined criteria as taught by Schaefer in the game of Walker in order to allow the player chances to complete a predetermined image combination and to provide appropriate payouts to the player based on the level of progress made toward the predetermined image combination.

As per claim 3-7, Walker discloses awarding cash value to the player (paragraph 0224). Further, associating an award to each selection, summing the awards associated with the selected selections, and providing a bonus award in playing a game would have been both well-known and obvious design choice.

As per claim 8, Schaefer discloses a designated section (puzzle A, B, C, or D in Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/630,876

Art Unit: 3713

As per claim 9-10, Walker discloses different puzzle pieces (paragraph 0193). Further, as to claim 9, using the same puzzle pieces in playing game would have been both well-known and obvious design choice.

As per claim 11, Walker discloses including a plurality of puzzle piece in a selection (Fig. 10 C).

As per claim 12-13, using a touch screen as a display device both well-known and obvious design choice.

As per claim 26-29, refer to discussion in claims 1 and 5-7 above.

As per claim 30-31, playing a game through an Internet network would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

As per claim 32, Walker discloses storing the game program in a memory (paragraph 0098).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/16/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument in page 9, last paragraph, through page 10, first and second paragraphs, since Schaefer discloses allowing the player to revealed the puzzle pieces and match the revealed pieces in each play (e.g. each puzzle A, B, C or D is considered as one play) of the game (the game includes puzzles A, B, C and D) to the designated combination of the puzzle pieces (e.g. puzzle A or B or C or D in Fig. 2), Schaefer obviously disclose allowing the player to select the selections in each play

Application/Control Number: 10/630,876 Page 5

Art Unit: 3713

of the game until the player obtains the designated combination (puzzle A or B or C or D). Further, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e. that the gaming device changes to a mode that does not allow additional puzzle pieces to be selected by the player; that electronic gaming machine; that stopping the player from selecting additional pieces after the winning combination is selected) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 10/630,876

Art Unit: 3713

Page 6

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The central official fax number is (571) 273-8300.

kn

Date: March 30, 2006

Kim Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3713